

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,698	03/22/2004	Alfred N. Basilicato	NUMO-0030	8729
23377	7590 11/29/2005		EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR			SMITH, TIMOTHY SCOTT	
1650 MARK	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			3632	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence		10	/805,698	BASILICATO ET	AL.				
Office Action Summary			aminer	Art Unit					
			nothy S. Smith	3632					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE f 37 CFR 1.136(a). nication. utory period will app rill, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a ly and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 24 Augus	t 2005.						
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-9</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or ele	ction requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 August 200</u>	<u>)5</u> is/are: a)⊠] accepted or b)□ o	objected to by the Examine	er.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 (d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attach	*(a)								
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🏳 Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action represents a non-final first Office Action for the application titled "BOOM DEVICE FOR PRESENTATION APPLIANCES," filed March 22, 2004.

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 conflicts with claim 2. In particular, claim 2 claims a base connecting the first and second set of upright members, and in claim 9, applicant claims a separate base connecting each of a respective first and second upright members. It is unclear how the invention can have a separate base member connecting each of a respective first and second upright members, and furthermore which base member is being referred to.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,698 Page 3

Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 2, 7, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 1,855,964 to Higginbotham.

Higginbotham discloses support stand apparatus comprising: a first set of upright members (5) capable of communicating with a screen, a second set of upright members (4) in communication with a projector (D), wherein the projector is capable of being located above and in front of a screen (page 1, column 1, states that the projector can be moved into any desired position, accordingly, the projector could be moved to a position above a screen), and a base (1) connecting the first and the second set of upright members, a dampening device (6) positioned between the first and the second set of upright members, a shelf (13) positioned between and in communication with the first or the second set of upright members.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3632

7. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higginbotham in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,736,516 to Le Roy et al.

Higginbotham discloses the support as applied above, but fails to disclose a boom arm movably attached to the second set of upright members, wherein one end of the boom arm supports the projector, wherein the boom arm also supports a weight, wherein the weight is positioned to counterbalance the projector about the second set of upright members, wherein the boom arm also supports a weight, the weight acting to minimize vibration of the projector, and thereby to a projected display, caused by energy translated through the second set of upright members, and wherein the weight is attached to the boom arm by a flexible, elastic, or spring-loaded mechanism to absorb energy translated through the second set of upright members. Nevertheless, Le Roy et al. discloses in figure 9, a boom arm (boom arm is comprised of elements 122, 124, and 128) movably attached to a set of upright members (102, 104), wherein one end of the boom arm supports a projector (120), wherein the boom arm also supports a weight (204), wherein the weight is positioned to counterbalance the projector about the second set of upright members (column 3, lines 32-34... further, the position of the weight can be altered with respect to the set of upright members and cables in order to stabilize the support stand), wherein the weight acts to minimize vibration of the projector (column 3, lines 32-34), wherein the weight is attached to the boom arm by a flexible, elastic, or spring-loaded mechanism (140, 148) to absorb energy translated through the set of upright members. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the

Application/Control Number: 10/805,698 Page 5

Art Unit: 3632

projector base (24) of Higginbotham with the boom arm assembly of Le Roy et al.

because one would have been motivated to provide a stronger, more stable projection system that allows a user to easily access the screen to make repairs when needed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed August 24, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the applicant's response, the examiner is not required to articulate the specific reasons as to why the inventions are independent or distinct, nor is the examiner required to show separate classification, or separate status in the art, or different field of search when restricting between species. Because the applicant has not articulated why the species are not patentably distinct, restriction between the species is proper.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Pat. Nos. disclose

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-8296. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,698 Page 6

Art Unit: 3632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on 571-272-6788. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

TSS

Timothy S. Smith Patent Examiner Art Unit 3632 November 22, 2005

> ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI BUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

EOfh 11/23/05